THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

KEYWANIE S. BRIDGEWATER,

CASE NO. C23-0965-JCC

v.

ORDER

RE-PC RECYCLED COMPUTERS & PERIPHERALS,

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

This matter comes before the Court *sua sponte*. On June 30, 2023, the Honorable Michelle L. Peterson, United States Magistrate Judge, granted Plaintiff's motion for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. (Dkt. No. 4.) Plaintiff's handwritten complaint (Dkt. No. 5) was entered shortly thereafter.

Once a complaint is filed *in forma pauperis*, the Court must dismiss it prior to service if it "fails to state a claim on which relief can be granted." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(b)(ii); *see Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1129 (9th Cir. 2000). To avoid dismissal, the complaint must contain sufficient factual matter, accepted as true, to state a claim for relief that is plausible on its face. *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 664 (2009). The factual allegations must be "enough to raise a right to relief above the speculative level." *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007). The complaint may be dismissed if it lacks a cognizable legal theory or states insufficient

ORDER C23-0965-JCC PAGE - 1

1 2

3

45

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

1516

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

2526

1	facts to support a cognizable legal theory. Zixiang v. Kerry, 710 F.3d 995, 999 (9th Cir. 2013).
2	The allegations must also support this Court's subject matter jurisdiction. See Safe Air for
3	Everyone v. Meyer, 373 F.3d 1035, 1039 (9th Cir. 2004).
4	Plaintiff asks the Court to require Defendant to unlock its wireless internet network. (Dkt.
5	No. 5 at 3.) Plaintiff does not, however, state any plausible claims for relief. (See generally Dkt.
6	No. 5.) And based on the facts presented, it is clear that the deficiencies of the complaint cannot
7	be cured by amendment. Cato v. United States, 70 F.3d 1103, 1106 (9th Cir. 1995). The Court
8	need not provide leave to amend when doing so would be futile. Barahona v. Union Pac. R.R.
9	Co., 881 F.3d 1122, 1134 (9th Cir. 2018).
10	For the foregoing reasons, the Court DISMISSES the complaint without prejudice.
11	DATED this 27th day of July 2023.
12	
13	John C Coylina
14	John C. Coughenour
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	